:			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	DIVISION OF LABOR STANDARDS ENFOR 320 W. 4th Street, Suite 430 Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-1511 Facsimile: (213) 897-2877 Attorney for the Labor Commissioner		
8	BEFORE THE LABOR	COMMISSIONER	
9	OF THE STATE OF	CALIFORNIA	
10			
11	ALEXANDRA PAUL, CA	SE NO. TAC 24564	
12		TERMINATION OF	
13			
1-4	VS		
15			
16	CHRISTOPHER NASSIF & DIVERSE TALENT GROUP,		
18-	Respondents.		
19			
20	The above-captioned matter, a Peti	The above-captioned matter, a Petition to Determine Controversy under	
21	Labor Code §1700.44, came on regularly for hearing on April 3, 2012 in Los Angeles,		
22	California, before the undersigned attorney for the Labor Commissioner assigned to hear		
23	this case. Petitioner ALEXANDRA PAUL appeared in pro per. Respondents		
. 24	CHRISTOPHER NASSIF & DIVERSE TALENT GROUP were properly served with the		
25	Petition but failed to appear.		
26		Based on the evidence presented at this hearing and on the other papers on	
27	file in this matter, the Labor Commissioner hereby adopts the following decision:		
28	///		
	1		

÷.

· 1.

---- ---

	·	Ì
1	FINDINGS OF FACT	
2	1. Petitioner ALEXANDRA PAUL, (hereinafter, "PAUL"), is a	
3	professional actress.	
4	2. During the relevant time period, Respondents CHRISTOPHER	
5	NASSIF & DIVERSE TALENT GROUP ("DTG") were licensed as a talent agency in the	
6	state of California and served as PAUL'S talent agency.	
. 7	3. On or about March 18, 2011, PAUL was offered a role in the motion	
8	picture entitled "No One to Blame." PAUL was promised \$22,500 for 15 days of shooting	
.9	in Los Angeles beginning on April 2, 2011.	
10	4. PAUL completed the shoot and in April 2011, received payments	
11	directly from the production company totaling \$1,956.23.	
12	5. On or about April 26, 2011, the production company sent the	
13	remainder of PAUL'S pay, \$20,543.77, directly to her talent agency, DTG.	
1.4	6 On June 9, 2011, DTG paid PAUL the total sum of \$3,697.87.	
15	7. DTG failed to pay PAUL the remaining balance of \$14,595.90	
16	(\$16,845.90 balance owed less 10% commission of \$2,250).	
- 1.7	8. On or about July 21, 2011, PAUL received a letter from DTG	
	informing her that DTG had been the victim of fraud on the part of an outside agency	
19	hired to help DTG expand its business. DTG explained in the letter that unbeknownst to	
20	DTG, a member from the outside agency collected payments sent into DTG's clients and	
21	cashed the payments. As such, DTG was in the process of setting up a payment plan to	
22	pay back its clients, including PAUL, the monies embezzled by the outside group.	
23	9. On September 9, 2011, PAUL received an email from DTG's	
24	attorney confirming that a payment plan was being set up to repay monies owed to DTG's	
25	clients.	
26	10. On September 26, 2011, PAUL filed a Petition to Determine	
27	Controversy seeking the unpaid balance of \$14,595.90.	
28	11. In October or November, 2011, after filing her Petition to Determine	
	2	

ŋ

Þ

1	Controversy, PAUL received \$11,222.24 through the surety bond posted with the Labor	
2	Commissioner. As such, at the time of this hearing, PAUL was only owed \$3,373.66	
3	from DTG.	
4	LEGAL ANALYSIS	
5	1. PAUL, a professional actress, is an "artist" within the meaning of	
6	Labor Code §1700.4(b).	
0 _. 7	2. DTG is a licensed talent agency. Labor Code §1700.25(a) provides:	
8 9	(a) A licensee who receives any payment of funds on behalf of an artist shall immediately deposit that amount in a trust fund account maintained by him or here in a hark or other recognized depository. The	
10	her in a bank or other recognized depository. The funds, less the licensee's commission, shall be	
11	disbursed to the artist within 30 days after receipt.	
12	However, notwithstanding the preceding sentence, the licensee may retain the funds beyond 30 days of receipt	
13	in either the following circumstances:	
14	(1)—To—the—extent—necessary—tooffset—an	
15	obligation of the artist to the talent agency that is	
	then due and owing.	
16	(2) When the funds are the subject of a	
17	controversy pending before the Labor Commissioner under Section 1700.44	
18	concerning a fee alleged to be owed by the artist	
19	to the licensee.	
20	The evidence presented shows that a check for \$20,543.77 was sent to DTG by the	
21	production company on April 26, 2011 for PAUL'S work on the motion picture	
22	production "No One to Blame." Furthermore, the evidence established that PAUL'S total	
23	earnings from this check (less the 10% commission owed to DTG) were never forwarded	
24	to PAUL with the exception of \$3,697.87 paid in June, 2011.	
25	No evidence was presented that the funds were retained in order to offset an	
26	obligation of PAUL to DTG nor were the funds the subject of a controversy pending	
27	before the Labor Commissioner concerning a fee alleged to be owed by PAUL to DTG.	
_ 28	The evidence established that PAUL collected an additional \$11,222.24 through	

ŋ

A

3

	·		
1	the bond company after October, 2011 but is still owed \$3,373.66 from DTG.		
2	3. Labor Code §1700.25(e) provides:		
3	If the Labor Commissioner finds, in proceedings under Section 1700.44, that the licensee's failure to disburse		
5	funds to an artist within the time required by subdivision (a) was a willful violation, the Labor		
6	Commissioner may, in addition to other relief under Section 1700.44, order the following:		
7 · 8	(1) Award reasonable attorney's fees to the prevailing artist.		
9	(2) Award interest to the prevailing artist on the		
10 11	funds wrongfully withheld at the rate of 10 percent per annum during the period of the violation.		
12	DTG'S failure to pay PAUL the outstanding monies owed constitutes a willful		
13	violation under Labor Code §1700.25(e). DTG has acknowledged owing the debt but has		
	-failed to pay the amount owed to date, 13 months after payment was due to PAUL.		
15	Accordingly, we award interest on the unpaid monies. ORDER		
16	For the foregoing reasons, Petitioner ALEXANDRA PAUL is entitled to		
17	collect \$3,373.66 in unpaid earnings plus \$346.61 in interest (calculated at 10% interest		
	on \$3,373.66 from May 26, 2011 the date such monies were due, to the date of this		
19	determination, June 4, 2012, 375 days) for a total amount of \$3,720.27 due from		
20	Respondents CHRISTOPHER NASSIF & DIVERSE TALENT GROUP.		
21	DATED: June 12, 2012 Respectfully submitted,		
22	By: FIMAPRINCIAFTIONON		
23	EDNA GARCIA EARLEY		
24	Attorneys for the Labor Commissioner		
25 26			
26 07	ADOPTED AS THE DETERMINATION OF THE LABOR COMMISSIONER		
27	Dated: June 12, 2012 By: Julie KS		
28	State Labor Commissioner		
ļ	4		

ð,

Ÿ